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#### Abstract

This research paper is about CINT2006 (aka SPECint2006) and shows the relation between cores (A processor core (or simply "core") is an individual processor within a CPU), number of chips (Computer chip, also called chip, integrated circuit or small wafer of semiconductor material embedded with integrated circuitry) and a processor's performance. All these factors are taken into consideration and the performance of the processor or the result is measured. The higher the result, the better is the performance of the processor or, the less is the time taken to process the test programme instructions. Type of data : Secondary data. Independent Variables: \# Cores, \# Chips, \# Cores Per Chip, Processor MHz Dependent Variables : Result
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

CINT2006 (aka SPECint2006) is amongst the recent standards of SPECint, a computer benchmark specification for CPU integer processing power by the Standard Performance Evaluation Corporation (SPEC). SPECint is the integer performance testing component of the SPEC test suite. SPEC defines a base runtime for each of the 12 benchmark programs. For SPECint2006, that number ranges from 1000 to 3000 seconds. The timed test is run on the system, and the time of the test system is compared to the reference time, and a ratio is computed.

That ratio becomes the SPECint score for that test. (This differs from the rating in SPECINT2000, which multiplies the ratio by 100). As an example, for SPECint2006, consider a processor which can run 400 .perlbench in 2000 seconds. The time it takes the reference machine to run the benchmark is 9770 seconds. Thus, the ratio is 4.885 . Each ratio is computed, and then the geometric mean of those ratios is computed to produce an overall value. The first SPEC test suite, CPU92, was announced in 1992. It was followed by CPU95, CPU2000, and CPU2006. The dataset measures the impact of factors such as number of cores and number of chips on a processor's performance. A higher result means better performance (less time taken to process the test programme instructions).

## 2. OBJECTIVES

- To understand the impact of factors such as number of cores and number of chips on a processor's performance.
- To understand which processor takes less time to process the test programme instructions.

3. DATA

### 3.1 Cores

| Class Intervals | Bin Values | Frequency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-50$ | 49 | 543 |
| $50-100$ | 99 | 121 |
| $100-150$ | 149 | 20 |
| $150-200$ | 199 | 4 |
| $200-250$ | 249 | 6 |
|  | More | 0 |
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Fig. 1: Histogram and Frequency Polygon

### 3.2 Chips

| Class Intervals | Bin Values | Frequency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-2$ | 2 | 552 |
| $2-4$ | 4 | 129 |
| $4-6$ | 6 | 0 |
| $6-8$ | 8 | 13 |
|  | More | 0 |



Fig. 2: Histogram and Frequency Polygon

### 3.3 Cores per chip

| Class Intervals | Bin Values | Frequency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-5$ | 5 | 60 |
| $5-10$ | 10 | 149 |
| $10-15$ | 15 | 160 |
| $15-20$ | 20 | 182 |
| $20-25$ | 25 | 60 |
| $25-30$ | 30 | 83 |
|  | More | 0 |



Fig. 3: Histogram and Frequency Polygon

### 3.4 Processor MHz

| Class Intervals | Bin Values | Frequency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<2000$ | 1999 | 47 |
| $2000-2500$ | 2499 | 378 |
| $2500-3000$ | 2999 | 139 |
| $3000-3500$ | 3499 | 78 |
| $3500-4000$ | 3999 | 52 |
|  | More | 0 |



Fig. 4: Histogram and Frequency Polygon

### 3.5 Result

| Class Intervals | Bin Values | Frequency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-1000$ | 999 | 148 |
| $1000-2000$ | 1999 | 290 |
| $2000-3000$ | 2999 | 159 |
| $3000-4000$ | 3999 | 49 |
| $4000-5000$ | 4999 | 29 |
| $5000-6000$ | 5999 | 8 |
| $6000-7000$ | 6999 | 1 |
| $7000-8000$ | 7999 | 0 |
| $8000-9000$ | 8999 | 3 |
| $9000-10000$ | 9999 | 3 |
| $10000-11000$ | 10999 | 2 |
| $11000-12000$ | 11999 | 2 |
|  | More | 0 |



Fig. 5: Histogram


## 4. CORRELATION

|  | \# Cores | \# Chips | \# Cores Per Chip | Processor MHz | Result |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# Cores | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| \# Chips | 0.780016374 | 1 |  |  |  |
| \# Cores Per Chip | 0.691460583 | 0.175011061 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Processor MHz | -0.224565034 | 0.069212376 | -0.395165334 | 1 | -0.09418655 |
| Result | 0.984052357 | 0.812635918 | 0.654960348 |  |  |
| Interpretations |  |  |  |  |  |
| There's an imperfect (almost pefect) positive correlation between the \# Core and the result. |  |  |  |  |  |
| There's an imperfect positive correlation between the \# Chips and the result. |  |  |  |  |  |
| There's an imperfect positive correlation between the \# Core Per Chip and the result. |  |  |  |  |  |
| There's an imperfect (very weak) negative correlation between Processor MHz and the result. |  |  |  |  |  |

## 5. REGRESSION

| SUMMARY OUTPUT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regression Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multiple R | 0.993747385 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R Square | 0.987533865 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Adjusted R } \\ \text { Square } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.987461493 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Standard Error | 160.7612458 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Observations | 694 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ANOVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | df | SS | MS | F | Significance F |  |  |  |
| Regression | 4 | 1410594311 | 352648577.8 | 13645.18446 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Residual | 689 | 17806638.74 | 25844.17814 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 693 | 1428400950 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Coefficients | Standard Error | t Stat | P-value | Lower 95\% | Upper 95\% | Lower 95.0\% | Upper 95.0\% |
| Intercept | 210.1255 | 9.6139117 | 4.390852 | 334903E-9 | 307.5381 | 9112.7129 | 9307.5381 | 9112.71299 |
| \# Cores | . 973934 | . 7542403 | 2.998936 | $18548 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 8.493048 | 1.4548198 | 8.4930482 | 1.45481928 |
| \# Chips | 9.28762 | 5.529022 | 0.9013705 | $1.2253 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 38.79774 | 99.777512 | 38.797742 | 99.7775122 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { \# Cores Per } \\ \text { Chip } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | . 308664 | 05120711 | 9008357 | 606872E-2 | 6.281298 | 4.3360310 | 6.2812985 | 4.33603150 |
| Processor MHz | 3619767 | 0137661 | 6.2947790 | 86273E-10 | 3349481 | 83890052 | 93349481 | 838900529 |

## Regression Equation <br> $Y=-1210.13+39.97 \mathrm{X} 1+169.29 \mathrm{X} 2+20.31 \mathrm{X} 3+0.36 \mathrm{X} 4$

## Interpretations

A increase of $\mathbf{4 0 . 0}$ in \# Cores will result in an increment of 1 in the result.
A increase of 169.3 in \# Chips will result in an increment of 1 in the result.
A increase of 20.31 in \# Cores Per Chip will result in an increment of 1 in the result.
A increase of 0.362 in Processor $\mathbf{~ M H z}$ will result in an increment of 1 in the result.

## 6. CONCLUSION

To conclude, a relationship between cores, number of chips and the time taken by the processor to test programme instructions was established. Using simple tools of moving averages, graphical representations and interpretations were established.
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